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## Revisiting the contruction of the Hopf algebra LDIAG

In a relatively recent paper Bender, Brody and Meister (*) introduce a special Field Theory described by a product formula (a kind of Hadamard product for two exponential generating functions EGF) in the purpose of proving that any sequence of numbers could be described by a suitable set of rules applied to some type of Feynman graphs (see third Part of this talk).

These graphs label monomials.

[^0]
## How these diagrams arise and which data structures are around them

Let $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}$ be two EGFs.

$$
\begin{gathered}
F=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} \frac{y^{n}}{n!} ; G=\sum_{m \geq 0} b_{m} \frac{y^{m}}{m!} ; \mathcal{H}(F, G):=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} b_{n} \frac{y^{n}}{n!} \\
\mathcal{H}(F, G)=\left.F\left(y \frac{d}{d x}\right) G(x)\right|_{x=0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Called < product formula » in the QFTP of Bender, Brody and Meister.

## In case $F(0)=G(0)=1$, one can set

$$
F(y)=\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} L_{n} \frac{y^{n}}{n!}\right) \quad G(x)=\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} V_{m} \frac{x^{m}}{m!}\right)
$$

and then,

$$
\mathcal{H}(F, G)=\left.F\left(y \frac{d}{d x}\right) G(x)\right|_{x=0}=
$$

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{y^{n}}{n!} \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=n} \text { numpart }(\alpha) \text { numpart }(\beta) \mathbb{L}^{\alpha} \mathbb{V}^{\beta}
$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \square^{\left(0^{(*)}\right)}$ multiindices

$$
\operatorname{numpart}(\alpha)=\frac{|\alpha|!}{(1!)^{a_{1}}(2!)^{a_{2}} \cdots(r!)^{a_{r}}\left(a_{1}\right)!\left(a_{2}\right)!\cdots\left(a_{r}\right)!}
$$

Remark that the coefficient numpart( $\alpha$ )numpart( $\beta$ ) is the number of pairs of set partitions (P1,P2) with type $(P 1)=\alpha$, type $(P 2)=\beta$.

The original idea of Bender and al. was to introduce a special data structure suited to this enumeration.


One to one

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\{1,4,5\}\{3\}\{7\}\{6,2\} \\
\hline\{5,6\}\{1,3,4\}\{7\}\{7,2\} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Now the product formula for EGFs reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}(F, G) & =\sum_{d F B-\text { diagram }} \frac{y^{|d|}}{|d|!} \mathbb{L}^{\alpha(d)} \mathbb{V}^{\beta(d)} \\
\mathcal{H}(F, G) & =\sum_{d \in \text { diag }} \frac{y^{|d|}}{|d|!} \operatorname{mult}(d) \mathbb{L}^{\alpha(d)} \mathbb{V}^{\beta(d)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The main interest of these new forms is that we can impose rules on the counted graphs and we can call these (and their relatives) graphs: Feynman Diagrams of this theory (i.e. QFTP).

|  | $1^{4}$ | $1^{2} 2^{1}$ | $2^{2}$ | $1^{1} 3^{1}$ | $4^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x^{4}$ | (1) | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{a}$ | (3) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1^{2} \\ & 2^{1} \end{aligned}$ | (6) |  |  |  | $\infty:$ |
| $2^{2}$ | (3) |  | (9) | (12) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1^{1} \\ & 3^{1} \end{aligned}$ | (4) |  | $\%$ <br> (12) |  |  |
| 1 |  <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ <br> (6) | $\infty$ | (4) | 0 |


|  | $1^{5}$ | $1^{3} 2$ | $12^{2} \sqrt{15}$ | $1^{2} 3$ | 23 | $14 \quad \sqrt{5}$ | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1^{3} 2$ <br>  <br> 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $12^{2}$ $\sqrt{1 / 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c:c} \circ & 0 \\ \hdashline 15 & 0 \\ \hdashline \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{15}$ |
| $1^{2} 3$ +100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $10$ |
| 14 | Diagrams of (total) weight 5 Weight=number of lines |  |  |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{c\|c}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 20 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ | $5$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Longrightarrow$ |

## One has now 3 types of diagrams :

- the diagrams with labelled edges (from 1 to $|d|$ ). Their set is denoted (see above) FB-diagrams.
- the unlabelled diagrams (where permutation of black and white spots). Their set is denoted (see above) diag.
- the diagrams, as drawn, with black (resp. white) spots ordered i.e. labelled. Their set is denoted Idiag.
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## Hopf algebra structure

$$
\left(H, \mu, \Delta, 1_{H} \varepsilon, \alpha\right)
$$

Satisfying the following axioms
$\left(H, \mu, 1_{H}\right)$ is an associative $k$-algebra with unit (here $k$ will be a - commutative - field)
( $\mathrm{H}, \Delta, \varepsilon$ ) is a coassociative $k$-coalgebra with counit
$\Delta: \mathrm{H}->\mathrm{H} \otimes \mathrm{H}$ is a morphism of algebras
$\alpha: \mathrm{H}->\mathrm{H}$ is an anti-automorphism (the antipode) which is the inverse of Id for convolution.

Convolution is defined on End(H) by

$$
\varphi \bullet \psi=\mu(\varphi \otimes \psi) \Delta
$$

with this law $\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{H})$ is endowed with a structure of associative algebra with unit $1_{H} \varepsilon$.

First step: Defining the spaces
Diag $=\oplus_{\mathrm{d} \in \text { diagrams }} \mathbf{C} d \quad$ LDiag $=\oplus_{\mathrm{d} \in \text { labelled diagrams }} \mathbf{C} d$
(functions with finite supports on the set of diagrams). At this stage, we have a natural arrow LDiag $\rightarrow$ Diag.

Second step: The product on Ldiag is just the concatenation of diagrams

$$
\mathrm{d}_{1} \pm \mathrm{d}_{2}=\mathrm{d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2}
$$

And, setting $m(d, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{V}, z)=\mathbf{L}^{\alpha(d)} \mathbf{V}^{\beta(\mathrm{d})} \mathbf{z}^{|d|}$
one gets

$$
\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{1} * \mathrm{~d}_{2}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{V}, \mathrm{z}\right)=\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{1}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{V}, \mathrm{z}\right) \mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{2}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{V}, \mathrm{z}\right)
$$

This product is associative with unit (the empty diagram). It is compatible with the arrow LDiag $\rightarrow$ Diag and so defines the product on Diag which, in turn, is compatible with the product of monomials.


The coproduct needs to be compatible with $m(d, ?, ?, ?)$. One has two symmetric possibilities. The « white spots coproduct » reads

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{BS}}(\mathrm{~d})=\sum \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{I}} \otimes \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{J}}
$$

the sum being taken over all the decompositions, ( $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}$ ) of the Black Spots of d into two subsets.
For example, with the following diagrams $d, d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$

one has $\Delta_{B S}(\mathrm{~d})=\mathrm{d} \otimes \varnothing+\varnothing \otimes \mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}_{1} \otimes \mathrm{~d}_{2}+\mathrm{d}_{2} \otimes \mathrm{~d}_{1}$

In order to connect these Hopf algebras to others of interest for physicists, we have to deform the product. The most popular technic is to use a monoidal action with many parameters (as braiding etc.). Here, it is the symmetric semigroup which acts on the black spots


We tried to weight the shuffle with superpositions (stuffle). The weights being given by the intersection numbers.

＞sth $([1,2],[3,4]):$
$[>[1,2,3,4] ;[1,[2,3], 4] ;[1,3,2,4] ;[1,3,[2,4]] ;[1,3,4$, 2］；［［1，3］，2，4］；［［1，3］，［2，4］］；［［1，3］，4，2］；［3，1，2，4］；［3， $1,[2,4]] ;[3,1,4,2] ;[3,[1,4], 2] ;[3,4,1,2] ;$

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[1,2,3,4]} \\
{[1,[2,3], 4]} \\
{[1,3,2,4]} \\
{[1,3,[2,4]]} \\
{[1,3,4,2]} \\
{[[1,3], 2,4]} \\
{[[1,3],[2,4]]} \\
{[[1,3], 4,2]} \\
{[3,1,2,4]} \\
{[3,1,[2,4]]} \\
{[3,1,4,2]} \\
{[3,[1,4], 2]} \\
{[3,4,1,2]}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The 13 terms of the stuffle of［1，2］with［3，4］



What is striking is that this law is associative.


The labelled diagrams are in one to one correspondence with the packed matrices of MQSym and we can see easily that the product of the latter is obtained for

$$
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}=1=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}}
$$

Hopf interpolation : One can see that the more intertwined the diagrams are the less connected components they have. This is the main argument to prove that $\operatorname{LDIAG}\left(q_{c}, q_{s}\right)$ is free. Therefore one can define a coproduct on the generators by

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{t}}=(1-\mathrm{t}) \Delta_{\mathrm{BS}}+\mathrm{t} \Delta_{\mathrm{MQSym}}
$$

this is $\operatorname{LDIAG}\left(q_{c,}, q_{s^{\prime}} t\right) .(R q=t$ is boolean $)$.

Images and Specializations



The arrow Planar Dec. Trees $\rightarrow \operatorname{LDIAG}\left(1, q_{s}, t\right)$ is due to L. Foissy

Theorem 1. Let $(\mathcal{A}, d)$ be a $k$-commutative associative differential algebra with unit $(\operatorname{ch}(k)=0)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be a differential subfield of $\mathcal{A}$ (i.e. $d(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}$ ). We suppose that $S \in \mathcal{A}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{d}(S)=M S ;\langle S \mid 1\rangle=1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the multiplier $M$ is a homogeneous series (a polynomial in the case of finite $X$ ) of degree 1, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\sum_{x \in X} u_{x} x \in \mathcal{C}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following conditions are equivalent :
i) The family $(\langle S \mid w\rangle)_{w \in X^{*}}$ of coefficients of $S$ is free over $\mathcal{C}$.
ii) The family of coefficients $(\langle S \mid y\rangle)_{y \in X \cup\left\{1_{X^{*}}\right\}}$ is free over $\mathcal{C}$.
iii) The family $\left(u_{x}\right)_{x \in X}$ is such that, for $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha_{x} \in k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f)=\sum_{x \in X} \alpha_{x} u_{x} \Longrightarrow(\forall x \in X)\left(\alpha_{x}=0\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

iv) The family $\left(u_{x}\right)_{x \in X}$ is free over $k$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\mathcal{C}) \cap \operatorname{span}_{k}\left(\left(u_{x}\right)_{x \in X}\right)=\{0\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Concluding remarks and future

i) $\operatorname{LDIAG}\left(q_{c^{\prime}} q_{s^{\prime}} t\right)$ is neither commutative nor cocommutative.
ii) The deformation above is likely to be decomposed in two deformation processes ; twisting (already investigated in NCSFIII) and shifting. Also, it could have a connection with other well known associators.
iii) The identity on the symmetric semigroup can be lifted to a more general monoid which takes into account the operations of concatenation and stacking. This, associated with new crossing and superposing rules could embrace the case of coloured polyzetas

## Thank you


[^0]:    Bender, C.M, Brody, D.C. and Meister, Quantum field theory of partitions, J. Math. Phys. Vol 40 (1999)

